The Okanagan Lake Action Plan: A Ten-Year Review



Andrew Wilson Senior Fish Biologist Ministry of Environment Okanagan Region

DFO vs. MOE

• DFO

- Management of anadromous species
- Federal Fisheries Act
- Compliance and Enforcement: Fish Act (primarily with anadromous species)
- Screening and review of major projects: Canadian Environmental Assessment Act
- Authorization for destruction of fish habitat

• MOE

- Management of resident species
- Provincial Water Act
- Compliance and Enforcement: Water Act and Fish Act (for resident species)
- Provide resident species expertise to DFO



Kokanee Stock Status

- Pre-1970 1 million+ spawning kokanee
- Post-1970 major decline in both kokanee populations
- 1971: 188,000 angler hours

 178,000 kokanee caught
- 1992: 250,000 angler hours
 37,000 kokanee caught
- 1995: kokanee fishery closed





Kokanee Escapement



Okanagan Lake Action Plan

Developed in 1995

Comprehensive 20 year plan

Delivered through agency Technical Committee

Stakeholder input and involvement

Currently completing Year 11 of HCTF funding commitment



Okanagan Lake Action Plan Stated Objective

"To rebuild and mointain the wild kokanee in Okanagan Lake"

"The Plan seeks to determine the biological relationships, define the causal problems and implement innovative solutions to remediate the

declining kokanee population

Okanagan Lake Action Plan Progress to Date

"Define Causal Problems"

Sustained monitoring and research have provided the tools to qualify and quantify the key limiting factors to kokanee production:

- Reduced shore and stream spawning capacity
- Low in-lake survival

Stream Spawner Impacts

90% of salmonid stream habitat lost due to flood protection, agriculture, logging and urbanization







Shore Spawner Impacts

- Mortality from lake drawdown
- Habitat loss from development







Low In-Lake Survival

- Competition with *Mysis relicta*
- Nutrient changes













Okanagan Lake Action Plan Accomplishments

"Implement Innovative Solutions"

Multi-faceted approach key to success

• 5 primary areas of focus

1. Habitat Protection / Restoration

- Tools to guide development
 - Shore spawner habitat protocols
- Flows for fish
 - Trout Creek
 - Trepanier Creek
 - Powers Creek
 - Mission Creek
- Stream restoration
 - Mission Creek
 - Penticton Creek





Tools to guide development

BMP's, guidelines and standards to protect kokanee shore spawning habitat:

- Top 1m of lake
- Angular substrate
- Wave action
- Interstitial spaces





Tools for Development

Draft Foreshore Habitat Protocol – Ecosystems Section

- Red zones = critical kokanee habitat
- Development in red zones that alters, disrupts or destroys habitat requires an authorization from DFO [Section 35(2)].
- Qualified Environmental Professional required to make determination and sign-off on statement indicating whether development results in a HADD
- Examples: breakwaters, docks, marinas, retaining walls, riparian clearing, groynes, in-fills, dredging
- Avoid (relocate, redesign) Minimize Compensate (last resort).

Flows for Fish

- Water Use Plan in place for Trout Creek
 - Partnership with MOE an District of Summerland
 - Conservation triggers, naturalized flow (Camp Creek)
- Water Management Plan under development on Trepanier Creek
 - MOE, Brenda Mines, Peachland, Water Purveyors
- Flow information gathered on Mission and Powers Creeks in preparation of WUP process in 2007
 - Flow measurement
 - Determining flow needs for fish
 - Determining natural base flows

Mission Creek Restoration

- Channelized and dyked for flood protection in 1950s
- Restore natural river processes and habitats in lower 12 km:
 - set-back dykes
 - meander construction
 - Improved sediment management
- Maintain goals of flood protection while accommodating water withdrawal infrastructure



2. Improved Lake Level Management

- >30% of spawning areas affected in some years
- Need for tools to assist water managers

- Partnership approach
- Internet-accessible simulation model
- Operational as of 2004-05



3. Mysis relicta Removal

- Introduced into Okanagan Lake in 1966
- Okanagan Lake mysid biomass estimated at ~3,000 metric tons
- Fishery developed to reduce competition with kokanee
- Target 50% reduction based on modelling work



Ok Lake *M. relicta* Test Fishery Results to Date

- Catches up to 1,200 kg/net/day
- Typical catch 500 kg/net/day
- Highest annual catch 80,000 kg (2001)
- 2006 catch to date: 22,000 kg
- Target for 2007: 310,000 kg
- Potential for significant area based impacts
- Low kokanee by-catch < 0.5%



4. Nutrient Balance

- Low in-lake kokanee survival potentially tied to diet
- N:P ratio in Okanagan Lake very low (< 3:1)
- Tends to favour dominance of blue-green algae
- Blue-green algae have low nutritional value
- Increase concentration of N to shift algal community by reconfiguring STP's





Nutrient Balance

- Results inconclusive
- Tied to snow pack?
 - Dry years both N&P limiting (e.g. 2003-2006)
 - Wet years only N limiting (e.g. 1996-1999)
- Continue investigations and repeat experiments in wet years





Total Nutrient Level?



5. Re-Opening Kokanee Fishery

- Okanagan Lake kokanee fishery was closed in 1995
- Supported up to 70,000 angler days annually when fishery was open
- Economic value: \$3.4 million annually in direct expenditures (2000 sport fish survey)
- Conflicting objectives: ongoing kokanee recovery vs. access to recreational fishery



Next Steps

- Long term monitoring
- Habitat restoration/protection
- Establishment of water use plans for key tributaries
- Large-scale harvest of Mysis relicta
- Continue implementation of lake level management tools
- N:P ratio adjustment feasibility investigations in wet years
- Consult on and finalize fishery management plan
- Communication



Conclusions

- Recent trends in kokanee
 escapement positive
- Kokanee population still only 15-20% of 1970s levels
- Low flow and habitat loss still threats
- Multi-faceted approach to recovery being implemented
- Partnership approach key for achieving recovery
- Proactive management of fishery to achieve multiple objectives



Key Priorities for Working together:

- Promotion of Foreshore Habitat protocol – Guidelines, BMP's
- Water quality monitoring

 E.g. Nutrients, endocrine disruptors (e.g. estrogen)
- Flow monitoring
 - Re-establishment of WSC stations
- Water use planning
 - Advocacy and involvement at round-tables